

Supervisory Report - June, 1987

There have been attempts on the part of Bob & myself to normalize the situation as much as possible. Though one can feel that there is a tension in the rectory. This is understandable, if you take into consideration the paper signed in the Vicar's office and the confrontation that took place at that time.

Late afternoon after that confrontation Bob came to my quarters and said that at the advice of his friends he will remain in St. Stephens. But at the same time he accused me of getting two messages from the answering service from lieutenants of the Des Plaines Police Dept asking a question whether I knew anything about the police surveillance of the rectory. He said it was the Des Plaines Police Dept, that

(3)

left messages for me when I asked if they were from our suburb or from Chicago. Since I never received any messages from the Des Plaines Police Dept., I denied ever knowing of the surveillance.

The following night there was a rented movie already ^{in his quarters} and people in his quarters. I never went upstairs to check who was there.

The young one 21 yrs. of age never park their cars in an obvious place anymore. But my walks around the parish grounds and my going to parish ~~meetings~~ have taken me to other areas where the cars are parked.

Bob practically never works in the evenings. Perhaps there is not the motivation that should be there since he knows he is leaving in June. Also, being

(3)

- supervised, may have something to do with a lack of motivation.

I still feel that no one under 21 yrs of age should be in his quarters. Of course I can see exceptions to the rule. But I do abide by the rule in the paper that was signed by all of us.

Leonard O. Meydl

P.S. Excuse the writing. I don't want anyone to see these reports.